SOUTH AYRSHIRE COUNCIL LOCAL REVIEW BODY NOTE OF CURRENT POSITION

| Site Address: | 86 ADAMTON ESTATE MONKTON SOUTH AYRSHIRE KA9 2SQ |
|---------------|--|
| Application: | 22/00367/APP ALTERATIONS AND EXTENSION DWELLINGHOUSE |

| Appointed Officer's Decision: | Refusal |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Date Notice of Review Received: | 18 November 2022 |

| Current Position: | The review being continued to the meeting of the Local Review Body on 14 March 2023 for further consideration and decision. |
|---|---|
| Documentation: | The following documents in relation to the review are attached: Pages 1 to 5 – Report of Handling Pages 6 to 14 – Notice of Review and Supporting Information Pages 15 to 22 – Planning Application and Supporting Information Pages 23 to 25 – Decision Notice Pages 26 to 29 – Case Officer Photos Page 30 – Interested Party Correspondence Page 31 – Draft Conditions |
| New Material: | No |
| Additional Material Any other Comments: | Site Visit carried out on Friday 10 March 2023. |
| Dated: | 8 March 2023 |

South Ayrshire Council Planning Service



Report of Handling of Planning Application

Application Determined under Delegated Powers where less than five objections have been received.

The Council's Scheme of Delegation can be viewed at http://www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/committees/

| Reference No: | 22/00367/APP |
|-----------------|---|
| Site Address: | 86 Adamton Estate Monkton South Ayrshire KA9 2SQ |
| Proposal: | Alterations and extension to dwellinghouse |
| Recommendation: | Refusal |

REASON FOR REPORT

This report fulfils the requirements of Regulation 16, Schedule 2, paragraphs 3 (c) and 4 of The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland) Regulations 2013. The application has been determined in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation as well as the Procedures for the Handling of Planning Applications.

1. <u>Site Description:</u>

The application site is a two-storey semi-detached dwellinghouse and detached garage sited within a rural locale at 86 Adamton Estate, east of Monkton. The property is finished with concrete roof tiles, rendered walls and uPVC windows and doors. A detached garage is sited within the front curtilage of the property and it is noted that the dwellinghouse has been previously been extended to its rear elevation by a single storey conservatory extension.

2. Planning History:

There is no relevant planning history in the assessment of the application.

3. <u>Description of Proposal:</u>

Planning permission is sought for alterations and extension to the dwellinghouse. A front entrance porch is proposed on the property's principal elevation and a single storey extension is to be sited to the property's north-eastern elevations. An existing garage shall be demolished to accommodate the proposed extensions. Details are contained within the submitted plans.

4. Consultations:

Ayrshire Roads Alliance has recommended refusal of the application due to off road parking being adversely impacted by the proposals. This is outlined further, below.

5. Submitted Assessments/Reports:

In assessing and reporting on a planning application the Council is required to provide details of any report or assessment submitted as set out in Regulation 16, Schedule 2, para 4(c) (i) to (iv) of the Development Management Regulations.

None.

6. S75 Obligations:

In assessing and reporting on a planning application the Council is required to provide a summary of the terms of any planning obligation entered into under Section 75 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act in relation to the grant of planning permission for the proposed development.

None.

7. Scottish Ministers Directions:

In determining a planning application, the Council is required to provide details of any Direction made by Scottish Ministers under Regulation 30 (Directions requiring consultation), Regulation 31 (Directions requiring information), Regulation 32 (Directions restricting the grant of planning permission) and Regulation 33 (Directions requiring consideration of condition) of The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure)(Scotland) Regulations 2013, or under Regulation 50 (that development is EIA development) of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017.

None.

8. Representations:

No representations were received.

9. <u>Development Plan:</u>

Section 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (as amended) indicates that in making any determination under the Planning Acts, regard is to be had to the development plan, the determination shall be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The following policies are relevant in the assessment of the application and can be viewed in full online at http://www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/planning/local-development-plans/local-development-plans.aspx

- Sustainable Development;
- Rural Housing;
- Land Use and Transport.

The provisions of the Adopted South Ayrshire Local Plan must be read and applied as a whole, and as such, no single policy should be read in isolation. The application has been considered in this context.

The development proposal has been assessed against the above policies and is considered to be contrary with the development plan. This is outlined further, below,

The Scottish Government Department of Planning and Environmental Appeals Division (DPEA) concluded its Examination of the South Ayrshire Modified Proposed Local Development Plan 2 (MPLDP 2 but referred to as LDP 2) and issued its Examination Report on 10th January 2022. The Examination Report and LDP 2 now forms a substantial material consideration in the determination of planning applications.

The application site is designated as a rural area within the Adopted South Ayrshire Local Development Plan and this remains unchanged with the Proposed Replacement South Ayrshire Local Development Plan. The application has been considered in this context.

10. Other Relevant Policy Considerations (including Government Guidance):

South Ayrshire Council's Guidance on House Alterations and Extensions indicates that alterations and extensions should be of a size and design which respect the existing building and surrounding street scene. In terms of the scale of an extension, this should normally be subsidiary in height and size to the original property. In assessing planning applications for alterations and extensions to residential buildings, the main points considered are:

- The height, width and general size should normally be smaller than the house, and, whilst in proportion, clearly subsidiary so as not to dominate the character of the original.

In terms of the form and detailing, the main points considered are:

- Generally, roofs should be pitched at an angle that reflects the original building; and
- Normally be similar in all respects to the existing building in terms of style, shape and proportion including windows of similar proportion and design and materials similar in colour or texture.

Front and Side Extensions;

The design and appearance of the fronts of dwellings and the distance between the buildings and the street are important aspects in defining the character of residential areas.

Front extensions, which project beyond the front of the original dwelling, can completely change the form of the dwelling. Therefore, generally only modest extensions that reflect the character of the existing property will be allowed. Front extensions that project significantly forward of any defined building line can appear prominent and are therefore unlikely to be acceptable.

Continuous access between the front and rear of the property should also be retained to enable wheelie bins to be stored at the rear of the property.

It is considered that the proposed alterations and extensions to the dwellinghouse are contrary to the provisions of the above guidance and this is outlined further, below.

South Ayrshire Council's Guidance on Rural Housing states that extensions to dwellinghouses in the countryside will normally be permitted where the proposal:-

- a) Would not result in the creation of an additional independent dwelling;
- b) Is sympathetic to the scale, character and proportions of the original house;
- c) Complies with the design guidance in rural housing supplementary guidance.

The proposed alterations and extension are not considered to be sympathetic to the character, scale or proportions of the original dwellinghouse, as outlined further, below.

Planning Guidance: Open Space and Designing New Residential Developments is relevant in the assessment of the application, which states that detached, semi-detached and terraced properties should be provided with garden ground in proportion to their size. Rear gardens will be expected to be a minimum of 1½ times the size of the ground floor area for detached and semi-detached dwellings and no less than 100sqm. This will generally include rear and side dwellinghouse gardens enclosed by fence/wall/ hedge.

The remaining private garden ground at the property is not impacted by the development proposals. However, it is recognised that the property has previously been altered and extended to its rear elevation. On this basis, it is considered that a satisfactory level of private garden ground is retained for the dwelling.

11. Assessment (including other material considerations):

Planning permission is sought for alterations and extension to the dwellinghouse at 86 Adamton Estate, East of Monkton. The proposals are two-fold; the erection of an entrance porch on the principal elevation of the dwellinghouse; and the erection of a single storey extension to the north-eastern elevation of the dwellinghouse. An existing detached garage which is sited within the front curtilage of the property shall be demolished to accommodate the proposals.

There are no objections to the principle of the development on the basis that it represents residential development within a rural residential area. The key considerations in the assessment of the application therefore relate to the siting, design, massing and scale of the alterations and extensions in relation to the dwellinghouse and surrounding properties, and the impact of the alterations and extension on residential amenity and character of the area. Each aspect of the development proposal is assessed, below:

Front Entrance Porch:

The proposed single storey entrance porch extension, which is located on the principal elevation of the dwellinghouse and will accommodate a porch and is considered to be of acceptable design. The proposal, which has a footprint of approximately 5sqm and reaches a height of 4m, is of a modest scale when viewed against the backdrop of the existing two storey property and is in proportion but clearly subsidiary, so as not to dominate the character of the original dwellinghouse.

It is considered that the siting of the single storey porch extension to the front of the property shall not

adversely impact any neighbouring properties in terms of their amenity. The proposed extension comprises an entrance porch which, due to its small scale and intended use, will not create any amenity issues. The proposed porch will not be utilised as a 'habitable' room and will therefore not result in any overlooking issues. There are similar porch extensions in the immediate area. Overall, it is considered that the proposed porch shall not adversely compromise the amenity of the surrounding residential area.

Alterations and extension - single storey extension;

The proposed single storey extension which is to be sited to north eastern elevation of the dwellinghouse comprises a bedroom, WC and utility area. The proposed extension reaches approximately 4.6m in height and has a footprint of approximately 26sqm. Although the principle of an extension is considered acceptable at the property, the proposed extension cannot be supported due to its siting which projects a further 3m beyond the original front building line of the dwellinghouse. As per the guidance set out elsewhere in the report, the design and appearance of the fronts of dwellings and the distance between the buildings and the street are important aspects in defining the character of residential areas. Front extensions, which project beyond the front of the original dwelling, can completely change the form of the dwelling. Only modest extensions that reflect the character of the existing property will be allowed. Front extensions that project significantly forward of any defined building line can appear prominent and are therefore unlikely to be acceptable. Therefore, for an extension of this scale and size which projects 3m forward of the original front building line, and which is sited approximately 1.5m (at its closest point) from the front boundary, is not considered to appear subsidiary to the original dwellinghouse; it dominates the original character and appearance of the dwellinghouse and is considered visually prominent within the streetscape at the locale.

It is acknowledged that the immediate neighbouring properties have been altered and extended; however, the extensions are located to the side and rear of neighbouring properties and do not project forward of the front of the properties (as per the application currently under consideration). While the principle of a extension is acceptable at the property, there are concerns that the siting of the extension under assessment will have a significant adverse impact on the visual character of the area. Extensions of this scale are normally found to the rear or side of properties and should not project significantly forward of an original front building line of principal elevations.

Accordingly, it is considered that the siting and scale of the extension proposed, which projects 3m forward the principal elevation of the dwellinghouse, will result in an unacceptable visually prominent extension which is not characteristic of development in the locality. It is therefore considered that the proposal detracts from the character and visual quality of the surrounding area.

It should be noted that it is not considered that there are any adverse impacts on neighbouring properties in terms of overlooking or overshadowing concerns arising from the siting or design of the proposed alterations or extension.

Due to the siting of the proposed extensions which are forward of the front building line and occupy a significant area of the front curtilage of the property, current available off-road parking is adversely impacted. The property currently has a garage and off-road parking within the front curtilage; however, by demolishing the garage and extending the property as proposed, the existing level of off-road parking (2 spaces) is diminished to 0 spaces; insufficient space would remain, as a result of the development, to accommodate any in curtilage/ off road parking. The ARA require each off-road parking space to measure 2.5m by 5.5m – this could not be accommodated.

The extension proposes an additional bedroom for the property which results in the property going from 3 bedrooms to 4 bedrooms. As per the National Roads Development Guide, 4 or more bedrooms require a minimum of 3 off road parking spaces. However, in this instance, the Ayrshire Roads Alliance are seeking two off road parking spaces, as per the current/ existing provision. However, as captured above, due to the siting of the proposals within the front curtilage, this diminishes the off - road parking currently available to no off -road parking spaces remaining for the property. Matters relating to road safety/ parking are material to the assessment of the current planning application. Given the policy provisions of the development plan, along with the consultation response from the ARA, it is considered that the development proposal would have an adverse impact on the local road network due to lack of off-road parking provision. It is considered that the proposals, if approved, would result in increased demand for on-street parking and congestion which would impede the free flow of traffic within public road limits, to the overall detriment of road safety, and residential amenity at this location.

Considering the above assessment, the proposals would not meet the provisions of LDP policy 'Sustainable Development', 'Rural Housing', 'Land Use and Transport' and 'Supplementary Guidance House Alterations and Extensions', by reason that the siting and scale of the proposed alterations and extension is not considered to be subsidiary to the original dwellinghouse; is considered visually prominent and dominates the character and appearance of the original dwellinghouse; and which is not considered characteristic of development in the locality. Additionally, in terms of its adverse impact on road safety and effect on the local transport network, by not providing parking which reflects the role of the development and which keeps any negative effects of road traffic on the environment to a minimum.

The applicant was offered advice and the opportunity to withdraw the application by the Planning Service so as to consider alternative proposals which would be less prominent and more in keeping with the locale. The applicant did not wish to withdraw the current application, and therefore the proposals require to be considered as submitted.

Given the above assessment and having balanced the rights of the applicant against the general interest, it is recommended that the application be refused.

12. Recommendation:

It is recommended that the application is refused.

Reasons:

- (1) That the proposal is contrary to the provisions of the South Ayrshire Local Development Plan, specifically LDP Policy: Sustainable Development and LDP Policy: Rural Housing, and the provisions of South Ayrshire Council's Supplementary Guidance on House Alterations and Extensions and Rural Housing, by reason that the siting and scale of the proposed extension is not considered to be subsidiary to the original dwellinghouse; is considered to be visually prominent and shall dominate the character and appearance of the original dwellinghouse; and is not characteristic of development in the locality.
- (2) That the development proposal is contrary to the provisions of the South Ayrshire Local Development Plan, specifically LDP Policy: Sustainable Development and LDP Policy: Rural Housing, 'Land Use and Transport' and the provisions of South Ayrshire Council's Supplementary Guidance on House Alterations and Extensions, by reason that no off road parking spaces are provided and therefore, the proposals would result in an increased demand for on-street parking and congestion which would impede the free flow of traffic within public road limits, to the overall detriment of road safety, and residential amenity at this location.

List of Plans Determined:

Drawing - Reference No (or Description): Refused LOCATION PLAN

Drawing - Reference No (or Description): **Refused** 01 Drawing - Reference No (or Description): **Refused** 02 Drawing - Reference No (or Description): **Refused** 03

Equalities Impact Assessment:

An Equalities Impact Assessment is not required because the proposed development is not considered to give rise to any differential impacts on those with protected characteristics.

| Decision Agreed By: | Appointed Officer |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| Date: | 25 August 2022 |



County Buildings Wellington Square Ayr KA7 1DR Tel: 01292 616 107 Email: planning.development@south-ayrshire.gov.uk

Applications cannot be validated until all the necessary documentation has been submitted and the required fee has been paid.

Thank you for completing this application form:

Applicant or Agent Details

ONLINE REFERENCE

100557691-002

The online reference is the unique reference for your online form only. The Planning Authority will allocate an Application Number when your form is validated. Please quote this reference if you need to contact the planning Authority about this application.

Are you an applicant or an agent? * (An agent is an architect, consultant or someone else acting on behalf of the applicant in connection with this application) Applicant Applicant Agent

| Applicant Details | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Please enter Applicant de | etails | | | |
| Title: | Mrs | You must enter a Bui | lding Name or Number, or both: * | |
| Other Title: | | Building Name: | | |
| First Name: * | Caroline | Building Number: | 86 | |
| Last Name: * | Adams | Address 1 (Street): * | Adamton Estate | |
| Company/Organisation | | Address 2: | | |
| Telephone Number: * | 07841357347 | Town/City: * | Monkton | |
| Extension Number: | | Country: * | Scotland | |
| Mobile Number: | | Postcode: * | KA9 2SQ | |
| Fax Number: | | | | |
| Email Address: * | cadams86@hotmail.co.uk | | | |

| Site Address Details | | | | |
|---|---|---------|----------------------------------|--|
| Planning Authority: | South Ayrshire Council | | | |
| Full postal address of the | site (including postcode where availab | le): | _ | |
| Address 1: | 86 ADAMTON ESTATE | | | |
| Address 2: | MONKTON | | | |
| Address 3: | | | | |
| Address 4: | | | | |
| Address 5: | | | | |
| Town/City/Settlement: | PRESTWICK | | | |
| Post Code: | KA9 2SQ | | | |
| Please identify/describe t | he location of the site or sites | | | |
| Northing | 627727 | Easting | 237525 | |
| Description of Proposal | | | | |
| | ion of your proposal to which your revien nended with the agreement of the planr | | ould be the same as given in the | |
| Extension to side/front of existing dwelling which will replace existing detached garage. Extension will include utility room, bedroom and en-suite. Also proposal to construct porch to front of property. | | | | |
| Type of Application | | | | |
| What type of application of | did you submit to the planning authority | ? * | | |
| Application for planning permission (including householder application but excluding application to work minerals). Application for planning permission in principle. Further application. | | | | |
| Application for approval of matters specified in conditions. | | | | |

| What does your review relate to? * | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|----------|--|
| Refusal Notice. | | | | |
| Grant of permission with Conditions imposed. | | | | |
| No decision reached within the prescribed period (two months after validation date or an | ny agreed extension) – c | leemed refus | sal. | |
| Statement of reasons for seeking review | | | | |
| You must state in full, why you are a seeking a review of the planning authority's decision (or must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your reseparate document in the 'Supporting Documents' section: * (Max 500 characters) | | | | |
| Note: you are unlikely to have a further opportunity to add to your statement of appeal at a la all of the information you want the decision-maker to take into account. | ater date, so it is essentia | al that you pr | oduce | |
| You should not however raise any new matter which was not before the planning authority a the time expiry of the period of determination), unless you can demonstrate that the new mattime or that it not being raised before that time is a consequence of exceptional circumstance. | tter could not have been | | | |
| I have provided this information in a supporting document. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Have you raised any matters which were not before the appointed officer at the time the Determination on your application was made? * | | Yes 🗵 No | | |
| If yes, you should explain in the box below, why you are raising the new matter, why it was n your application was determined and why you consider it should be considered in your review | | | efore | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Please provide a list of all supporting documents, materials and evidence which you wish to to rely on in support of your review. You can attach these documents electronically later in the | submit with your notice on process: * (Max 500 c | of review and haracters) | d intend | |
| Supporting document attached separately | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Application Details | | | | |
| Please provide the application reference no. given to you by your planning authority for your previous application. | 22/00367/APP | | | |
| What date was the application submitted to the planning authority? * | 25/04/2022 | | | |
| What date was the decision issued by the planning authority? * | 25/08/2022 | | | |
| | | | | |

| Review Proced | ure | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The Local Review Body will decide on the procedure to be used to determine your review and may at any time during the review process require that further information or representations be made to enable them to determine the review. Further information may be required by one or a combination of procedures, such as: written submissions; the holding of one or more hearing sessions and/or inspecting the land which is the subject of the review case. | | | |
| | a conclusion, in your opinion, based on a review of the relevant informa ther procedures? For example, written submission, hearing session, sit | | |
| - | ure (or combination of procedures) you think is most appropriate for the if you wish the review to be a combination of procedures. | e handling of your review. You may | |
| Please select a further proce | edure * | | |
| By means of inspection of | the land to which the review relates | | |
| Please explain in detail in yo will deal with? (Max 500 cha | our own words why this further procedure is required and the matters searacters) | et out in your statement of appeal it | |
| | nonstrate that the development would not adversely affect the appearal also not have an adverse effect on parking. | nce of the property, or the | |
| | | | |
| In the event that the Local R | eview Body appointed to consider your application decides to inspect t | he site, in your opinion: | |
| Can the site be clearly seen | from a road or public land? * | X Yes No | |
| Is it possible for the site to be | e accessed safely and without barriers to entry? * | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | |
| Checklist – App | olication for Notice of Review | | |
| | ng checklist to make sure you have provided all the necessary informat n may result in your appeal being deemed invalid. | tion in support of your appeal. Failure | |
| Have you provided the name | e and address of the applicant?. * | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | |
| Have you provided the date review? * | and reference number of the application which is the subject of this | Ⅺ Yes ☐ No | |
| | on behalf of the applicant, have you provided details of your name whether any notice or correspondence required in connection with the or the applicant? * | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A | |
| | ent setting out your reasons for requiring a review and by what f procedures) you wish the review to be conducted? * | ⊠ Yes □ No | |
| Note: You must state, in full, why you are seeking a review on your application. Your statement must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. You may not have a further opportunity to add to your statement of review at a later date. It is therefore essential that you submit with your notice of review, all necessary information and evidence that you rely on and wish the Local Review Body to consider as part of your review. | | | |
| Please attach a copy of all documents, material and evidence which you intend to rely on (e.g. plans and Drawings) which are now the subject of this review * | | | |
| Note: Where the review relates to a further application e.g. renewal of planning permission or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition or where it relates to an application for approval of matters specified in conditions, it is advisable to provide the application reference number, approved plans and decision notice (if any) from the earlier consent. | | | |
| Declare - Notic | e of Review | | |
| I/We the applicant/agent cer | tify that this is an application for review on the grounds stated. | | |
| Declaration Name: | Mrs Caroline Adams | | |
| Declaration Date: | 17/11/2022 | | |

Planning Appeal - 22/00367/APP

Supporting Information

I'm appealing the decision to refuse planning application 22/0367/APP as I feel this decision is unjustified.

The decision notice noted two reasons for the refusal. I will argue each point in turn below:

The first point of refusal was due to:

- the siting and scale of the proposed extension
- the proposed extension being visually prominent
- the proposals dominating the character and appearance of the original dwellinghouse
- the proposals not being characteristic of the locality

New build properties are currently under construction directly adjacent to my property (see image 1 below). As you will see from the below plan (image 1) and photograph (image 2), the properties are visually prominent. Even with the proposed extension, my property would be much smaller in siting and scale to these new build properties, which are 4 & 5 bedroom properties selling in the region of £500,000.

Image 1 - Site Plan



The proposed extension is sited 1.7m from the pavement, this is the same distance that the new build properties are from the pavement (see image 2 below). It should also be noted that the current attached garage is situated extremely close to the pavement (see image 3 below). The proposal is for this garage to be demolished to make way for the extension.

Image 2



Image 3



The character and appearance of the new builds are extremely different to the original properties on the street. We have tried to incorporate the style of the new builds into our proposals with the utilisation of matching facing brick. Please note that we would also be open to the use of materials for the extension to ensure it tied in with the existing building and surrounding properties.

Image 4 & 5 below highlight that the current detached garage is more prominent that the proposed new extension.

Image 4 – current prominent garage

Image 5 – existing and proposed plan







When looking at our neighbouring properties – no 72 and 81 Adamton Estate have extended their properties on the front elevation. I would also argue that these have set a precedent in the area.

Image 6 – 85 Adamton Estate, Monkton



Image 7 – 72 Adamton Estate, Monkton



In summary, our proposed extension:

- is lesser in scale to the new build properties adjacent
- is less prominent than the existing detached garage
- will add to the character and enhance the appearance of the original dwellinghouse
- in characteristic of the locality

The second reason for refusal was regarding off-road parking.

We have never used the garage for off road parking (see image 8 below) therefore the refusal of the application won't make any difference to our current on-street parking situation; this point is therefore purely academic.

Image 8 – current on-street parking



The area highlighted in yellow on image 9 below shows that there is an adequate space for off-road parking when the proposed extension is complete (I am aware that the requires size for off street parking is 5m x 2.5m as per the Roads Development Guide). We will therefore be able to utilise this area to the front of our property to replace the parking space that is being lost by demolishing the garage.

Image 9 – plan highlighting off road parking



We do not currently utilise the garage for parking but we will make use of the new driveway. This will therefore ease congestion on the road and will not have a detriment to road safety or the residential amenity at this location.

I would like to note that although requested, no site visit to my knowledge has been undertaken. I feel this would have been beneficial as the Planning Department could obtain a better understanding of the current property, neighbouring properties and construction currently underway.

In summary, I would strongly encourage you to overturn the decision as:

- The appearance and characteristics of the proposals are in keeping with the new builds adjacent to the property
- The proposal is less prominent than the current garage and new builds adjacent
- Off-street parking would be replaced and utilised
- The appearance of the property will be significantly enhanced



County Buildings Wellington Square Ayr KA7 1DR Tel: 01292 616 107 Email: planning.development@south-ayrshire.gov.uk

Applications cannot be validated until all the necessary documentation has been submitted and the required fee has been paid.

Thank you for completing this application form:

ONLINE REFERENCE 100557691-001

| The online reference is the unique reference for your online form only. The Planning Authority will allocate an Application Number when your form is validated. Please quote this reference if you need to contact the planning Authority about this application. | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Description o | f Proposal | | |
| Please describe accurate | ly the work proposed: * (Max 500 characters | s) | |
| The state of the s | ched garage. Build extension to side/front of rect porch to front of property. | existing property to inc | orporate utility room, en-suite and |
| Has the work already bee | n started and/ or completed? * | | |
| No Yes - Started | Yes – Completed | | |
| Applicant or A | Agent Details | | |
| a last second | n agent? * (An agent is an architect, consult in connection with this application) | ant or someone else ac | ting Applicant Agent |
| Applicant Det | ails | | |
| Please enter Applicant de | tails | | |
| Title: | Mrs | You must enter a Bui | Iding Name or Number, or both: * |
| Other Title: | | Building Name: | |
| First Name: * | Caroline | Building Number: | 86 |
| Last Name: * | Adams | Address 1 (Street): * | Adamton Estate |
| Company/Organisation | | Address 2: | |
| Telephone Number: * | | Town/City: * | Monkton |
| Extension Number: | | Country: * | Scotland |
| Mobile Number: | | Postcode: * | KA9 2SQ |
| Fax Number: | | | |
| Fmail Address: * | | | |


| Site Address Details | | | | |
|---|--|---------|------------|--|
| Planning Authority: | anning Authority: South Ayrshire Council | | | |
| Full postal address of the | site (including postcode where available | le): | _ | |
| Address 1: | 86 ADAMTON ESTATE | | | |
| Address 2: | MONKTON | | | |
| Address 3: | | | | |
| Address 4: | | | | |
| Address 5: | | | | |
| Town/City/Settlement: | PRESTWICK | | | |
| Post Code: | KA9 2SQ | | | |
| Please identify/describe th | ne location of the site or sites | | | |
| | | | | |
| Northing | 527727 | Easting | 237525 | |
| | | | | |
| Pre-Application | n Discussion | | | |
| Have you discussed your | proposal with the planning authority? * | | ☐ Yes ☒ No | |
| Trees | | | | |
| Are there any trees on or adjacent to the application site? * | | | | |
| If yes, please mark on your drawings any trees, known protected trees and their canopy spread close to the proposal site and indicate if any are to be cut back or felled. | | | | |
| Access and Parking | | | | |
| Are you proposing a new or altered vehicle access to or from a public road? * | | | | |
| If yes, please describe and show on your drawings the position of any existing, altered or new access points, highlighting the changes you proposed to make. You should also show existing footpaths and note if there will be any impact on these. | | | | |
| Planning Service Employee/Elected Member Interest | | | | |
| Is the applicant, or the applicant's spouse/partner, either a member of staff within the planning service or an elected member of the planning authority? * | | | | |


| Certificate | s and Notices | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| | CERTIFICATE AND NOTICE UNDER REGULATION 15 – TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATION 2013 | | |
| | st be completed and submitted along with the application form. This is most usually Certificaticate C or Certificate E. | ite A, Form 1, | |
| Are you/the applica | nt the sole owner of ALL the land? * | X Yes No | |
| Is any of the land p | art of an agricultural holding? * | ☐ Yes ☒ No | |
| Certificate | Required | | |
| The following Land | Ownership Certificate is required to complete this section of the proposal: | | |
| Certificate A | | | |
| Land Ov | vnership Certificate | | |
| Certificate and Noti Regulations 2013 | ce under Regulation 15 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Pro | cedure) (Scotland) | |
| Certificate A | | | |
| I hereby certify that | :- | | |
| (1) - No person other than myself/the applicant was an owner (Any person who, in respect of any part of the land, is the owner or is the lessee under a lease thereof of which not less than 7 years remain unexpired.) of any part of the land to which the application relates at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the accompanying application. | | | |
| (2) - None of the la | nd to which the application relates constitutes or forms part of an agricultural holding | | |
| | | | |
| Signed: | Mrs Caroline Adams | | |
| On behalf of: | | | |
| Date: | 22/04/2022 | | |
| | Please tick here to certify this Certificate. * | | |

| Checklist – Application for Householder Application | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----------------|
| Please take a few moments to complete the following checklist in order to ensure that you have provided all the necessary information in support of your application. Failure to submit sufficient information with your application may result in your application being deemed invalid. The planning authority will not start processing your application until it is valid. | | | |
| a) Have you provided a writter | n description of the development to which it relates?. * | X Yes | □No |
| b) Have you provided the pos has no postal address, a desc | tal address of the land to which the development relates, or if the land in question cription of the location of the land? * | X Yes | □ No |
| c) Have you provided the nam applicant, the name and addre | ne and address of the applicant and, where an agent is acting on behalf of the ess of that agent.? * | X Yes | No |
| d) Have you provided a locatic land in relation to the locality and be drawn to an identified | on plan sufficient to identify the land to which it relates showing the situation of the and in particular in relation to neighbouring land? *. This should have a north point scale. | Yes | □ No |
| e) Have you provided a certific | cate of ownership? * | X Yes | □ No |
| f) Have you provided the fee p | payable under the Fees Regulations? * | X Yes | □ No |
| g) Have you provided any other | er plans as necessary? * | X Yes | □ No |
| Continued on the next page | | | |
| A copy of the other plans and (two must be selected). * | drawings or information necessary to describe the proposals | | |
| You can attach these electron | ic documents later in the process. | | |
| | | | |
| ■ Existing and proposed floor plans. | | | |
| ☐ Cross sections. | | | |
| Site layout plan/Block plans (including access). | | | |
| Roof plan. | | | |
| Photographs and/or phot | omontages. | | |
| | aple a tree survey or habitat survey may be needed. In some instances you about the structural condition of the existing house or outbuilding. | ☐ Yes | ⊠ _{No} |
| A Supporting Statement – you may wish to provide additional background information or justification for your Proposal. This can be helpful and you should provide this in a single statement. This can be combined with a Design Statement if required. * | | | ⊠ No |
| You must submit a fee with your application. Your application will not be able to be validated until the appropriate fee has been Received by the planning authority. | | | |
| Declare – For Householder Application | | | |
| I, the applicant/agent certify that this is an application for planning permission as described in this form and the accompanying Plans/drawings and additional information. | | | |
| Declaration Name: | Mrs Caroline Adams | | |
| Declaration Date: | 22/04/2022 | | |

Payment Details

Created: 22/04/2022 17:19










SITE PLAN AS EXISTING 1:200



SITE PLAN AS PROPOSED 1:200

1m 0 1m 2m 3m 4m 5m

PROPOSED EXTENSION TO 86 ADAMTON ESTATE MONKTON DRAWING NO - 01

Place Directorate

Service Lead - Planning and Building Standards: Craig Iles

Planning Service, County Buildings, Wellington Square, Ayr, KA7 1DR www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/Planning/

Tel: 01292 616 175

Email: dianne.lewis@south-ayrshire.gov.uk

Our Ref: 22/00367/APP Date: 25 August 2022

Mrs Caroline Adams 86 Adamton Estate Monkton KA9 2SQ

Dear Sir/Madam,

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (AS AMENDED)

PROPOSAL: Alterations and extension to dwellinghouse

SITE ADDRESS: 86 Adamton Estate Monkton South Ayrshire KA9 2SQ

With reference to your Application for Planning Permission, I enclose a copy of the Decision Notice refusing permission. This Decision Notice should be read in conjunction with our <u>Guidance Note for Planning Decisions</u>.

The refused drawings and other documents, where relevant, can be accessed from the <u>Council's website</u> by using the application reference number noted above. You may find the Report of Handling (otherwise entitled 'Delegated Report') of particular interest, as this sets out an assessment of the application and an explanation for the decision taken.

If you require further information in respect of your decision notice, please contact Ms Dianne Lewis by telephoning 01292 616 175 or by emailing dianne.lewis@south-ayrshire.gov.uk.

Yours faithfully,

Craig Iles

Craig Iles
Service Lead – Planning and Building Standards

Encs.



LOCAL DEVELOPMENT

REFUSAL OF APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION (Delegated)

Ref No: 22/00367/APP

SOUTH AYRSHIRE COUNCIL

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (AS AMENDED)

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (GENERAL DEVELOPMENT) (SCOTLAND) ORDERS

To: Mrs Caroline Adams

86 Adamton Estate

Monkton KA9 2SQ

With reference to your **Application for Planning Permission** dated **25th April 2022**, under the aforementioned Regulations, for the following development, viz:-

Alterations and extension to dwellinghouse

at: 86 Adamton Estate Monkton South Ayrshire KA9 2SQ

South Ayrshire Council in exercise of their powers under the aforementioned Regulations hereby **refuse** the Application for Planning Permission for the said development in accordance with the following reasons as relative hereto and the particulars given in the application. The refused drawings and other documents, where relevant, can be accessed from the Council's website by using the application reference number noted above these and represent the refused scheme.

The reasons for the Council's decision are:

- (1) That the proposal is contrary to the provisions of the South Ayrshire Local Development Plan, specifically LDP Policy: Sustainable Development and LDP Policy: Rural Housing, and the provisions of South Ayrshire Council's Supplementary Guidance on House Alterations and Extensions and Rural Housing, by reason that the siting and scale of the proposed extension is not considered to be subsidiary to the original dwellinghouse; is considered to be visually prominent and shall dominate the character and appearance of the original dwellinghouse; and is not characteristic of development in the locality.
- (2) That the development proposal is contrary to the provisions of the South Ayrshire Local Development Plan, specifically LDP Policy: Sustainable Development and LDP Policy: Rural Housing, 'Land Use and Transport' and the provisions of South Ayrshire Council's Supplementary Guidance on House Alterations and Extensions, by reason that no off road parking spaces are provided and therefore, the proposals would result in an increased demand for on-street parking and congestion which would impede the free flow of traffic within public road limits, to the overall detriment of road safety, and residential amenity at this location.

List of Plans Determined:

Drawing - Reference No (or Description): Refused LOCATION PLAN

Drawing - Reference No (or Description): Refused 01

Drawing - Reference No (or Description): **Refused** 02

Drawing - Reference No (or Description): Refused 03

The explanation for reaching this view is set out in the Report of Handling and which forms a part of the Planning Register.

South Ayrshire Council Planning Service Decision Notice (Ref: 22/00367/APP)



Dated: 25th August 2022

Craig Nes **Craig Iles** Service Lead - Planning and Building Standards

PLANNING SERVICE, COUNTY BUILDINGS, WELLINGTON SQUARE, AYR, KA7 1DR

Decision Notice (Ref: 22/00367/APP)



NOTICE TO ACCOMPANY REFUSAL OR GRANT OF PERMISSION WITH CONDITIONS

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (AS AMENDED)

(1) If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the Planning Authority to refuse permission for, or grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the Planning Authority to review the case under Section 43A of The Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months from the date of this notice. Information on how to require a review can be obtained from the address and contact details below. Any notice of review submitted should also be addressed to:

South Ayrshire Council Planning Service County Buildings Wellington Square Ayr

KA7 1DR

Tel: 01292 616 107


e-mail: Planning.development@south-ayrshire.gov.uk

website: www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/Planning/

- (2) If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions, the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the Planning Authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of his interest in the land in accordance with Part 5 of The Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.
- (3) In certain circumstances, a claim may be made against the Planning Authority for compensation, where permission is refused or granted, subject to conditions by the Scottish Ministers. The circumstances in which such compensation is payable are set out in Section 77 of The Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.
- (4) Where permission is being granted in respect of any building or premises which are open to the public, attention is hereby drawn to the applicant's duty, in terms of Sections 4, 5, and 7 to 8A of The Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970, to include, where reasonable and practicable provision for the needs of the disabled. (Ref. Section 45 of The Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997).


Attention is also drawn to The Equality Act 2010 which may impose further obligations on developments.

22/00367/APP - APPLICATION OFFICERS PHOTOGRAPHS LOCATION PLAN, EXISTING AND PROPOSED SITE PLANS



front boundary at its closest point


EXISTING AND PROPOSED ELEVATIONAL PLANS



3m in depth beyond

Officer Site Photos

Application site from entrance to Adamton Estate











On Behalf of South Ayrshire Council
Roads and Transportation Services
Observations on Planning Application

Contact:

ARA Case Officer: AP
Planning Case Officer: D L

Planning Application No: 22/00367/APP Location: 86 Adamton Estate, Monkton

Date Received: 12/08/2022 Date Returned: 16/08/2022 Recommendation: Refuse

The following response has been prepared following a review of the information made available through South Ayrshire Council's Planning portal website at the time of writing.

Expository Statement (if applicable):

The proposed development looks to remove a parking space associated with the dwelling without evidence of relocating the space within the curtilage of the site area. As such the ARA recommend refusal until such time as a plan is provided showing the existing number of parking spaces retained within the existing site area. The proposed parking layout should comply with the standards as set out in the National Roads Development Guide.

Case Officers Draft Conditions and Reasons

Draft Conditions;

- 1) That the development hereby permitted must be begun within three years of the date of this permission.
- 2) That the development hereby granted shall be implemented in accordance with the approved plan(s) as listed below and as forming part of this permission unless a variation required by a condition of the permission or a non-material variation has been agreed in writing by the Planning Authority.
- 3) That notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development)(Scotland) Order, 1992 (or any Order amending or revoking and re-enacting that Order) no development within Class(es) 1A-3E shall be undertaken without the prior written permission of the Planning Authority.

Reasons;

- 1) To be in compliance with Section 58 of The Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended by Section 32 of The Planning (Scotland) Act 2019.
- 2) To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans unless otherwise agreed.
- 3) To ensure that any further development at this site is assessed as part of a further planning permission in the interests of amenity.