Agenda Item No. 9

South Ayrshire Council

Report by Head of Finance, ICT and Procurement to Audit and Governance Panel of 6 September 2023

Subject: **Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Quarter 1 Update Report 2023/24**

---

1. Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide Members with an update on the 2023/24 treasury prudential indicators for the period April-June 2023 (Quarter 1) and provide an update on the latest wider economic position.

2. Recommendation

2.1 It is recommended that the Panel:

2.1.1 scrutinises the contents of this report; and

2.1.2 remits the Quarter 1 Update Report to the next Cabinet meeting on 26 September for approval.

3. Background

3.1 CIPFA published revised Treasury Management and Prudential Codes in December 2021 which stated that revisions contained in the Codes needed to be included in the reporting framework from the 2023/24 financial year.

3.2 The Council’s Treasury Management and Investment Strategy for 2023/24, approved by Council in March 2023, contained the requirements of the updated codes. For reporting purposes, the Strategy incorporated the intention to introduce two further quarterly update Treasury Management and Investment Strategy reports in addition to the current mid-year and out-turn reports.

3.3 Specifically, the Chief Finance Officer (CFO) is required to establish procedures to monitor and report performance against all forward-looking prudential indicators at least quarterly. Per the Prudential code 2021:

> *‘monitoring of prudential indicators, including forecast debt and investments, is not required to be taken to Full Council and should be reported as part of the authority’s integrated, capital and Balance Sheet monitoring* ‘

3.4 The Council will report these two additional quarterly update reports to the Audit and Governance Panel and thereafter to Cabinet. This report fulfils the additional reporting requirements of the 2021 Treasury Management and Prudential codes.

3.5 The Council operates a balanced budget, which broadly means cash raised during the year will meet its cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operations ensure this cash flow is adequately planned to meet expenditure commitments but also to invest surplus monies in low-risk counterparties (organisations with which the Council has a financial relationship in terms of borrowings or investments), providing adequate liquidity initially before considering optimising investment return.

3.6 The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer-term cash flow planning to ensure the Council can meet its capital spending operations. This management of longer-term cash will involve arranging long or short-term loans or using longer term cash flow surpluses. In addition, in certain interest rate environments debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

4. Proposals

4.1 This Quarter 1 report has been prepared in compliance with CIPFA's Code of Practice on Treasury Management and provides an update on Economic activity and Interest rates in Appendix 1 and Prudential and Treasury Indicators in Appendix 2.

4.2 The Panel is requested to scrutinise the contents of this report and thereafter remit to the Cabinet meeting on 26 September for approval.

5. Legal and Procurement Implications

5.1 There are no legal implications arising from this report.

5.2 There are no procurement implications arising from this report.

6. Financial Implications

6.1 ***General Services***

6.1.1 **Interest on Revenue Balances** - the Council budgeted for investment income of £0.965m in 2023/24, based on an estimate of the average revenue balances held during the year. Budgeted at achieving an assumed interest rate return of 2.50% on these balances.

At June 2023 (Qtr1) the full year budgeted income is projected at £1.544m, a surplus of £0.579m. This surplus has arisen due to a higher than projected increase in interest rates, therefore for a similar investment a greater return is being achieved.

6.1.2 **Capital Financing Costs** - the budget for loan charges in 2023/24 is £14.826m, comprising £6.271m for loan principal, £8.371m for interest costs and £0.184m for loans fund expenses.

The current projection for loans charges to the General Fund is an over-spend of £1.752m in interest and expenses. This has again arisen due to higher than projected interest rates and a subsequent reprofiling of external borrowing. This reprofiling will take advantage of lower interest rates in the earlier part of the year against projected increase in interest rates in the latter half of the year. This projected overspend will be monitored as the year progresses and borrowing will only be taken if required.

6.2 ***Housing Revenue Account (HRA)***

6.2.1 **Interest on Revenue Balances** - the HRA budgeted for investment income of £0.200m in 2023/24, based on an estimate of the average revenue balances held during the year. Budgeted at achieving an assumed interest rate return of 2.50% on these balances.

At June 2023 (Qtr1) the full year estimate for investment income earned is £0.343m resulting in a surplus of £0.143m. Similar to General Services, a higher than anticipated interest rate has resulting in an over achievement of returns on investments..

6.2.2 **Capital Financing Costs -** the budget for HRA loan charges in 2023/24 is £4.463m, comprising £1.674m for loan principal, £2.729m for interest costs and £0.060m for loans fund expenses.

The current projection for HRA loan charges is an overspend of £0.544m in interest and expenses. This overspend is again similar to the explanation for General Services overspend i.e. that higher than budgeted interest rates are being encountered.

7. Human Resources Implications

7.1 Not applicable.

8. Risk

8.1 ***Risk Implications of Adopting the Recommendations***

8.1.1 There are no risks associated with adopting the recommendations.

8.2 ***Risk Implications of Rejecting the Recommendations***

8.2.1 Should the recommendations be rejected, then the Council will not be in compliance with the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management.

9. Equalities

9.1 The proposals in this report have been assessed through the Equality Impact Assessment Scoping process. There are no significant potential positive or negative equality impacts of agreeing the recommendations and therefore an Equalities Impact Assessment is not required. A copy of the Equalities Scoping Assessment is attached as Appendix 3.

10. Sustainable Development Implications

10.1 ***Considering Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)*** **-** This report does not propose or seek approval for a plan, policy, programme or strategy or document otherwise described which could be considered to constitute a plan, programme, policy or strategy.

11. Options Appraisal

11.1 An options appraisal has not been carried out in relation to the subject matter of this report.

12. Link to Council Plan

12.1 The matters referred to in this report contribute to Priority 4 of the Council Plan: Efficient and effective enabling services.

13. Results of Consultation

13.1 There has been no public consultation on the contents of this report.

13.2 Consultation has taken place with Councillor Ian Davis, Portfolio Holder for Finance, Human Resources and ICT, and the contents of this report reflect any feedback provided.

Background Papers	**CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services** **Report to South Ayrshire Council of 1 March 2023 –Treasury Management and Investment Strategy 2023/24**
Person to Contact	**Tim Baulk, Head of Finance, ICT and Procurement** **County Buildings, Wellington Square, Ayr, KA7 1DR** **Phone 01292 612620** **E-mail tim.baulk@south-ayrshire.gov.uk**

Date: 28 August 2023

1. Economic Activity

The first quarter of 2023/24 saw:

- A 0.2% month on month (m/m) rise in real GDP in April, partly due to fewer strikes;
- CPI inflation falling from 10.1% to 8.7% in April, before remaining at 8.7% in May.
- Core CPI inflation rise in both April and May, reaching a new 31-year high of 7.1%;
- A tighter labour market in April, as the 3myy growth of average earnings rose from 6.1% to 6.5%;
- Interest rates rise by a further 75bps over the quarter, taking Bank Rate from 4.25% to 5.00%;
- 10-year gilt yields nearing the "mini-Budget" peaks, as inflation surprised to the upside.

The economy has weathered the drag from higher inflation better than was widely expected. The 0.2% m/m rise in real GDP in April, following March's 0.3% m/m contraction will further raise hopes that the economy will escape a recession this year. Some of the strength in April was due to fewer strikes by train workers and teachers in that month. Moreover, some of the falls in activity in other areas in April were probably temporary too. Strikes by junior doctors and civil servants contributed to the fall in health output (0.9% m/m) and the meagre 0.1% m/m increase in public administration.

The recent resilience of the economy has been due to a confluence of factors including the continued rebound in activity after the pandemic, households spending some of their pandemic savings, and the tight labour market and government handouts both supporting household incomes. That said, as government support fades, real household incomes are unlikely to grow rapidly. Furthermore, higher interest rates will mean GDP is likely to contract later this year. Our central assumption is that inflation will drop to the 2.0% target only if the Bank triggers a recession by raising rates from 5.00% now to at least 5.5% and keeps rates there until at least mid-2024. Our colleagues at Capital Economics estimate that around 60% of the drag on real activity from the rise in rates has yet to materialise, and the drag on the quarterly rate of real GDP growth over the next year may be about 0.2ppts bigger than over the past year.

The labour market became tighter over the quarter and wage growth reaccelerated. Labour demand was stronger than the consensus had expected. The three-month change in employment rose from +182,000 in March to +250,000 in April. Meanwhile, labour supply continued to recover as the size of the labour force grew by 303,000 in the three months to April. That was supported by a further 140,000 decline in inactivity as people returned to work from retirement and caring responsibilities (while inactivity due to long-term sick continued to rise). But it was not enough to offset the big rise in employment, which meant the unemployment rate fell from 3.9% to 3.8%

The tighter labour market supported wage growth in April, although the 9.7% rise in the National Living Wage on 1st April (compared to the 6.6% increase in April last year) probably had a lot to do with it too. The 3myy rate of average earnings growth reaccelerated from 6.1% to 6.5% (consensus 6.1%) and UK wage growth remains much faster than in the US and the Euro-zone. In addition, regular private sector wage growth increased from 7.1% 3myy to 7.6%, which left it well above the Bank's forecast for it to fall below 7.0%. Overall, the loosening in the labour market appears to have stalled in April and regular private sector wage growth was well above the Bank's forecast.

CPI inflation stayed at 8.7% in May (consensus 8.4%) and, perhaps more worryingly, core CPI inflation rose again, from 6.8% to a new 31-year high of 7.1%. The rise in core inflation built on the leap from 6.2% in March to 6.8% and means it is accelerating in the

UK while it is slowing in the US and the Euro-zone (both fell to 5.3%). A further decline in fuel inflation, from -8.9% to -13.1%, and the second fall in food inflation in as many months, from 19.3% to 18.7%, explained why overall CPI inflation didn't rise. And the scheduled fall in the average annual utility price from £2,500 to £2,074 on 1st July means overall CPI inflation will probably ease in the coming months. But the problem is that the recent surge in core inflation and the reacceleration in wage growth shows that domestic inflationary pressures are still strengthening.

This suggests the Bank may have more work to do than the Fed (Federal Reserve) or ECB(European Central Bank). This came through most in the MPC's decision to step up the pace of hiking from the 25bps at the previous two meetings. The 7-2 vote, with only two members voting to leave rates unchanged at 4.50%, revealed support for stepping up the fight against high inflation.

That said, the Bank has not committed to raising rates again or suggested that 50bps rises are now the norm. What it did say was that "the scale of the recent upside surprises in official estimates of wage growth and services CPI inflation suggested a 0.5 percentage point increase in interest rates was required at this particular meeting". Moreover, the Committee did not strengthen its forward guidance that any further rate hikes would be conditional on the data. However, it looks highly probable, given the on-going strength of inflation and employment data, that the Bank will need to raise rates to at least 5.5% and to keep rates at their peak until the mid-point of 2024. We still think it is only a matter of time before the rise in rates weakens the economy sufficiently to push it into recession. That is why instead of rising to between 6.00%-6.25%, as is currently priced in by markets, we think rates are more likely to peak between 5.50-6.00%. Our forecast is also for rates to be cut in the second half of 2024, and we expect rates to then fall further than markets are pricing in.

Growing evidence that UK price pressures are becoming increasingly domestically generated has driven up market interest rate expectations and at one point pushed the 10-year gilt yield up to 4.49% in late June, very close to its peak seen after the "mini-budget". Yields have since fallen slightly back to 4.38%. But growing expectations that rates in the UK will remain higher for longer than in the US mean they are still more than 70 bps above US yields. While higher interest rates are priced into the markets, the likely dent to the real economy from the high level of interest rates is not. That's why we think there is scope for market rate expectations to fall back in 2024 and why we expect the 10-year PWLB Certainty Rate to drop back from c5.20% to 5.00% by the end of this year and to 4.20% by the end of 2024.

The pound strengthened from $1.24 at the start of April to a one-year high at $1.26 in early May, which was partly due to the risks from the global banking issues being seen as a bigger problem for the US than the UK. The pound then fell back to $1.23 at the end of May, before rising again to $1.28 in the middle of June as the strong core CPI inflation data released in June suggested the Bank of England was going to have to raise rates more than the Fed or ECB in order to tame domestic inflation. However, sterling's strong run may falter because more hikes in the near term to combat high inflation are likely to weaken growth (and, hopefully, at some point inflation too) to such a degree that the policy rate will probably be brought back down, potentially quite quickly, as the economic cycle trends downwards decisively. This suggests that additional rate hikes are unlikely to do much to boost the pound.

In early April, investors turned more optimistic about global GDP(Gross Domestic Product) growth, pushing up UK equity prices. But this period of optimism appears to have been short-lived. The FTSE 100 has fallen by 4.8% since 21st April, from around 7,914 to 7,553, reversing part of the 7.9% rise since 17th March. Despite the recent resilience of economic activity, expectations for equity earnings have become a bit more downbeat. Nonetheless, further down the track, more rate cuts than markets anticipate should help the FTSE 100 rally.

MPC meetings 11th May and 22nd June 2023

- On 11th May, the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) increased Bank Rate by 25 basis points to 4.50%, and on 22nd June moved rates up a further 50 basis points to 5.00%. Both increases reflected a split vote – seven members voting for an increase and two for none.
- Nonetheless, with UK inflation significantly higher than in other G7 countries, the MPC will have a difficult task in convincing investors that they will be able to dampen inflation pressures anytime soon. Talk of the Bank's inflation models being "broken" is perhaps another reason why gilt investors are demanding a premium relative to US and Euro-zone bonds, for example.
- Of course, what happens outside of the UK is also critical to movement in gilt yields. The US FOMC has already hiked short-term rates to a range of 5.00%-5.25%, but a further increase is pencilled in for July, whilst the ECB looks likely to raise its Deposit rate at least once more to a peak of 3.75%, with upside risk of higher to come.

2. Interest rate forecasts

The Council has appointed Link Group as its treasury advisors and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The PWLB rate forecasts below are based on the Certainty Rate (the standard rate minus 20 bps) which has been accessible to most authorities since 1st November 2012.

The latest forecast, made on 26th June, sets out a view that both short and long-dated interest rates will be elevated for some little while, as the Bank of England seeks to squeeze inflation out of the economy, against a backdrop of a stubbornly robust economy and a tight labour market.

You will note that our forecasts have steadily increased during the quarter as the data continued to spring upside surprises, and the Bank of England continued to under-estimate how prevalent inflation is, and how tight the labour market is. The Government has also noted that despite immigration increasing markedly, high levels of ill-health amongst the workforce has led to wage demands remaining strong until such time as there is a loosening in demand for business services.

Our current and previous PWLB rate forecasts below are based on the Certainty Rate.

Link Group Interest Rate View	26.06.23												
	Jun-23	Sep-23	Dec-23	Mar-24	Jun-24	Sep-24	Dec-24	Mar-25	Jun-25	Sep-25	Dec-25	Mar-26	Jun-26
BANK RATE	5.00	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.25	4.75	4.25	3.75	3.25	2.75	2.75	2.50	2.50
3 month ave earnings	5.30	5.60	5.50	5.30	5.00	4.50	4.00	3.50	3.00	2.70	2.60	2.50	2.50
6 month ave earnings	5.80	5.90	5.70	5.50	5.10	4.60	4.00	3.50	3.00	2.70	2.60	2.60	2.60
12 month ave earnings	6.30	6.20	6.00	5.70	5.30	4.80	4.10	3.60	3.10	2.80	2.70	2.70	2.70
5 yr PWLB	5.50	5.60	5.30	5.10	4.80	4.50	4.20	3.90	3.60	3.40	3.30	3.30	3.20
10 yr PWLB	5.10	5.20	5.00	4.90	4.70	4.40	4.20	3.90	3.70	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.40
25 yr PWLB	5.30	5.40	5.20	5.10	4.90	4.70	4.50	4.20	4.00	3.90	3.80	3.80	3.70
50 yr PWLB	5.00	5.10	5.00	4.90	4.70	4.50	4.30	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.60	3.50	3.50

1. Future path of Bank Rate

Our central forecast for interest rates was previously updated on 25th May and reflected a view that the MPC would be keen to further demonstrate its anti-inflation credentials by delivering a succession of rate increases. This has happened to a degree, especially as it moved to a more aggressive 0.5% hike in June but, with inflation remaining elevated, we anticipate that Bank Rate will need to increase to at least 5.5%, if not higher, to sufficiently slow the UK economy and loosen the labour market.

- Moreover, we also still anticipate the Bank of England will be keen to loosen monetary policy when the worst of the inflationary pressures are behind us – but timing on this will remain one of fine judgment: cut too soon, and inflationary pressures may well build up further; cut too late and any downturn or recession may be prolonged. Our current judgment is that rates will have to increase and stay at their peak until the second quarter of 2024 as a minimum.
- In the upcoming months, our forecasts will be guided not only by economic data releases and clarifications from the MPC over its monetary policies and the Government over its fiscal policies, but also international factors such as policy development in the US and Europe, the provision of fresh support packages to support the faltering recovery in China as well as the on-going conflict between Russia and Ukraine and whether there are any further implications for Russia itself following the recent aborted mutiny by the Wagner group.
- On the positive side, consumers are still estimated to be sitting on excess savings left over from the pandemic, which could cushion some of the impact of the above challenges and may be the reason why the economy is performing somewhat better at this stage of the economic cycle than may have been expected. However, most of those excess savings are held by more affluent people whereas lower income families already spend nearly all their income on essentials such as food, energy and rent/mortgage payments.

PWLB Rates

- Gilt yield curve movements have shifted upwards, especially at the shorter end of the yield curve since our previous forecast but remain relatively volatile. PWLB 5 to 50 years Certainty Rates are, generally, in the range of 4.90% to 5.60%.
- We view the markets as having built in, already, nearly all the effects on gilt yields of the likely increases in Bank Rate and the elevated inflation outlook.

The balance of risks to the UK economy:

- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is to the downside.

Downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates include:

- Labour and supply shortages prove more enduring and disruptive and depress economic activity (accepting that in the near-term this is also an upside risk to inflation and, thus, the rising gilt yields we have seen of late).
- The Bank of England increases Bank Rate too fast and too far over the coming months, and subsequently brings about a deeper and longer UK recession than we currently anticipate.
- UK / EU trade arrangements – if there was a major impact on trade flows and financial services due to complications or lack of co-operation in sorting out significant remaining issues.
- Geopolitical risks, for example in Ukraine/Russia, China/Taiwan/US, Iran, North Korea and Middle Eastern countries, which could lead to increasing safe-haven flows.
- A broadening of banking sector fragilities, which have been successfully addressed in the near-term by central banks and the market generally, but which may require

further intervention if short-term interest rates stay elevated for longer than is anticipated.

Upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates:

- Despite the recent tightening by 0.5%, the Bank of England proves too timid in its pace and strength of increases in Bank Rate and, therefore, allows inflationary pressures to remain elevated for a longer period within the UK economy, which then necessitates Bank Rate staying higher for longer than we currently project.

- The pound weakens because of a lack of confidence in the UK Government's fiscal policies, resulting in investors pricing in a risk premium for holding UK sovereign debt.

- Longer-term US treasury yields rise strongly if inflation remains more stubborn than the market currently anticipates, pulling gilt yields up higher consequently.

- Projected gilt issuance, inclusive of natural maturities and QT, could be too much for the markets to comfortably digest without higher yields compensating.

1.1 ***The Council's Capital Expenditure and Prudential Indicators***

(1) The following section provides the information relating to the 2023/24 capital position and prudential indicators.

- The Council's capital expenditure plans.
- How these plans are being financed.
- The impact of the capital expenditure plans on the prudential indicators and the underlying need to borrow.
- Compliance with the limits in place for borrowing activity.

(2) The tables below draw together the main movement in terms of the capital expenditure plans compared to the original plan, highlighting the original supported and unsupported elements of the capital programme, and the expected financing arrangements for capital expenditure. The borrowing element of Table 1 for both General Services and HRA below revises the underlying indebtedness of the Council by way of the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR).

Table 1

	2023/24 Original Estimate £'000	***2023/24 Latest Estimate £'000***
Prudential Indicator – General Services		
Capital Expenditure	**99,145**	**99,661**
General Services - Financed By		
General and Specific Grant	13,358	14,746
Capital Receipts/Other	31,380	27,062
Borrowing	54,407	57,853
	99,145	**99,661**

Prudential Indicator – HRA		
Capital Expenditure	**75,740**	**76,808**
HRA - Financed By		
CFCR, Draw on surplus	14,559	14,015
Other Receipts/ Grants	7,510	8,460
Borrowing	53,671	54,333
	75,740	**76,808**

*Note reason for additional cost of borrowing is predominately due to a reprofiling of Scottish Government grant for the Ayrshire Growth Deal projects. These projects are monitored closely within the capital programme and reported on monthly basis to Scottish Government. Any changes to this profiling will be reported as part of capital quarterly monitoring.

1.2 ***Capital Financing Requirement, Debt Position and Operational Boundary Indicators***

(1) Table 2 shows the CFR, which is the underlying need to incur borrowing for a capital purpose.

Table 2

Prudential Indicator – CFR	***2023/24 Original Estimate £'000***	***2023/24 Updated Estimate £'000***
Capital Financing Requirement – GS	455,043	457,064
Capital Financing Requirement – HRA	129,426	129,935
Total Capital Financing Requirement	**584,469**	**586,998**

(2) **Prudential Indicators Chart**



The chart shown at (2) above shows estimated key prudential indicators in bar chart format:

1. **External Borrowing –** shows significant increase in the next two years as the Council utilises borrowing to fund capital investment

2. **Capital Financing Requirement –** shows increases in CFR in line with external debt. The Council ended 2022/23 in an under borrowed position (CFR compared with external debt) of £37.274m. The current strategy will be to reflect an under-borrowed position in the short/medium term as reflected in the chart.

3. **Operational Boundary –** this indicator is higher than external debt and CFR as it includes provision for other long term financing liabilities such as PPP and Finance leases, and short term cash flow variations.

4. **Authorised Limit –** the limit which cannot be exceeded in terms of the Council's debt position. This indicatior is higher than the operational limit as provision is made for other cash flow variation and potential borrowing in advance.

Table 3

Prudential Indicators – Debt	***2023/24 Original £'000***	***2023/24 Updated £'000***
Authorised Limit	641,000	633,500
Operational Limit	590,000	590,000
External Debt	435,660	450,660

1.3 **Liability Benchmark**

(1) A third and new prudential indicator for 2023/24 is the Liability Benchmark (LB). The Authority is required to estimate and measure the LB for the forthcoming financial year and the following two financial years, as a minimum.

CIPFA notes in the 2021 TM Code: "The liability benchmark should be analysed as part of the annual treasury management strategy, and any substantial mismatches between actual loan debt outstanding and the liability benchmark should be explained. Any years where actual loans are less than the benchmark indicate a future borrowing requirement; any years where actual loans outstanding exceed the benchmark represent an overborrowed position, which will result in excess cash requiring investment (unless any currently unknown future borrowing plans increase the benchmark loan debt requirement). The treasury strategy should explain how the treasury risks inherent in these mismatched positions will be managed."

(2) There are four components to the Liability Benchmark:

1. **Existing loan debt outstanding**: the Authority's existing loans that are still outstanding in future years.

2. **Loans CFR**: this is calculated in accordance with the loans CFR definition in the Prudential Code and projected into the future based on approved prudential borrowing and planned Loans Fund advances/Loans Fund principal repayments. (Note only approved prudential borrowing is included).

3. **Net loans requirement**: this will show the Authority's gross loan debt less treasury management investments at the last financial year-end, projected into the future and based on its approved prudential borrowing, planned Loans Fund principal repayments and any other major cash flows forecast.

4. **Liability benchmark (or gross loans requirement**): this equals net loans requirement plus short-term liquidity allowance.

(3) The graph below shows each of the 4 components, Existing Loan Debt Outstanding as shown in the bar chart of graph with overarching pale blue line, Loans CFR as shown by the green line, Net loans requirement as shown in the grey line and finally the liability benchmark as shown in the dark blue line.

This liability benchmark indicator is relevant for all authorities, including those with a net cash surplus. For such authorities, it becomes a measure of the forecast net investment requirement and guides the appropriate size and maturity of investments needed.

Any years where actual loans are less than the benchmark indicate a future borrowing requirement. Any years where actual loans outstanding exceed the benchmark represent an overborrowed position, which will result in excess cash requiring investment.

From chart below you can see SAC loans are less than the benchmark for at least the next 40 years which as stated indicates a future borrowing requirement. This is in line with SAC future capital plans and to replace existing borrowing which is due to mature in the coming years.



Appendix 3

South Ayrshire Council
Comhairle Siorrachd Àir a Deas
Making a Difference Every Day

South Ayrshire Council
Equality Impact Assessment
Scoping Template

Equality Impact Assessment is a legal requirement under the Public Sector Duty to promote equality of the Equality Act 2010. Separate guidance has been developed on Equality Impact Assessment's which will guide you through the process and is available to view here: https://www.south-ayrshire.gov.uk/equalities/impact-assessment.aspx

Further guidance is available here: https://www.equalityhumanrights.com/en/publication-download/assessing-impact-and-public-sector-equality-duty-guide-public-authorities/

The Fairer Scotland Duty ('the Duty'), Part 1 of the Equality Act 2010, came into force in Scotland from 1 April 2018. It places a legal responsibility on Councils to actively consider ('pay due regard to') how we can reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage, when making strategic decisions. FSD Guidance for Public Bodies in respect of the Duty, was published by the Scottish Government in March 2018 and revised in October 2021. See information here: https://www.gov.scot/publications/fairer-scotland-duty-guidance-public-bodies/

1. Policy details

Policy Title	Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Quarter 1 2023-24
Lead Officer (Name/Position/Email)	Kate Copland, Senior Finance Officer Treasury/ Capital – kate.copland@south-ayrshire.gov.uk

2. Which communities, groups of people, employees or thematic groups do you think will be, or potentially could be, impacted upon by the implementation of this policy? Please indicate whether these would be positive or negative impacts

Community or Groups of People	Negative Impacts	Positive impacts
Age – men and women, girls & boys	-	-
Disability	-	-
Gender Reassignment (Trans/Transgender Identity)	-	-
Marriage or Civil Partnership	-	-
Pregnancy and Maternity	-	-
Race – people from different racial groups, (BME) ethnic minorities and Gypsy/Travellers	-	-
Religion or Belief (including lack of belief)	-	-
Sex – (issues specific to women & men or girls & boys)	-	-

Community or Groups of People	Negative Impacts	Positive impacts
Sexual Orientation – person's sexual orientation i.e. LGBT+, lesbian, gay, bi-sexual, heterosexual/straight	-	-
Thematic Groups: Health, Human Rights & Children's Rights	-	-

3. What likely impact will this policy have on people experiencing different kinds of social disadvantage i.e. The Fairer Scotland Duty (This section to be completed for any Strategic Decisions). Consideration must be given particularly to children and families.

Socio-Economic Disadvantage	Negative Impacts	Positive impacts
Low Income/Income Poverty – cannot afford to maintain regular payments such as bills, food, clothing	-	-
Low and/or no wealth – enough money to meet Basic living costs and pay bills but have no savings to deal with any unexpected spends and no provision for the future	-	-
Material Deprivation – being unable to access basic goods and services i.e. financial products like life insurance, repair/replace broken electrical goods, warm home, leisure/hobbies	-	-
Area Deprivation – where you live (rural areas), where you work (accessibility of transport)	-	-
Socio-economic Background – social class i.e. parent's education, employment and income	-	-

4. Do you have evidence or reason to believe that the policy will support the Council to:

General Duty and other Equality Themes **Consider the 'Three Key Needs' of the Equality Duty**	**Level of Negative and/or Positive Impact** **(High, Medium or Low)**
Eliminate unlawful discrimination, **harassment and victimisation**	Low
Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not	Low
Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. (Does it tackle prejudice and promote a better understanding of equality issues?)	Low
Increase participation of particular communities or groups in public life	Low
Improve the health and wellbeing of particular communities or groups	Low
Promote the human rights of particular communities or groups	Low
Tackle deprivation faced by particular communities or groups	Low

5. Summary Assessment

Is a full Equality Impact Assessment required? (A full Equality Impact Assessment must be carried out if impacts identified as **Medium and/or High**)	~~**YES**~~ **NO**
Rationale for decision: **The strategy outlines the approach to be taken in managing the Council's cash flow and capital funding arrangements and is a mechanism for ensuring that budget targets are achieved: a full EQIA is, therefore, not required**	
Signed : Tim Baulk **Date:** 17 July 2023	**Head of Service**